

Addendum No. 1

June 21, 2006

**Inland Valley Development Agency (IVDA)
Request for Proposals (RFP)
For**

The Performance of Preliminary Engineering and Preparation of Environmental Documents and Plans & Specifications Related To Mountain View Avenue Including Mountain View Bridge and Mission Creek Bridge, Tippecanoe Avenue, Lena Road, Third Street, Fifth Street and Del Rosa Drive

Questions and Answers:

1. **I refer to your addendum #4 of Central Ave. Project regarding the use of Environmental firm. Would we expect you adopting similar direction for this RFP as well?**
 - a.) Yes. Any proposal in furtherance of the RFP will be deemed to be responsive if either (i) a consultant or subconsultant is intended to be retained by the entity submitting the proposal and is identified in the proposal with the professional qualifications of such consultant or subconsultant, or (ii) the proposal anticipates that in-house environmental staff of the entity submitting the proposal will be utilized describing the qualifications of such staff in environmental matters of the nature required, or (iii) the entity submitting the proposal has elected to utilize the services of the IVDA environmental consultant which is herein identified to be Tom Dodson & Associates under such terms and conditions as may be agreed upon with the IVDA for the use of the services of this IVDA retained consultant.

2. **(i) Can we list more than one project in order of priority? (ii) Can the same firm be selected for more than one project?**
 - a.) (i) Yes. (ii) Yes.

3. **Page limitations will restrict firms from addressing multiple projects. Can the same firm submit multiple proposals, ie different proposal for each project they may be interested in?**
 - a.) No.

4. **Could you please give us a ballpark unit price for the construction of a 78" waterline, something from the Baseline Feeder extension, for example?**
 - a.) We believe a good estimate to be \$2,000 per lineal foot.

5. It is understood that IVDA will be awarding a contract for each individual contract. Do I assume correctly that this RFP will result in the six contracts that correspond to the six project sites described in the RFP, or will the contracts be further subdivided into the six sites with their multiple phases, leading to 12 contracts?

a.) Six contracts or less.

6. The required improvements for some of the project sites are not entirely clear. Will we be able to clearly find the description of the improvements in the Master Planned Development of the Inland Empire Goods Movement Bill? And is this information available at IVDA?

a.) If you are selected, that will be addressed in the contract proposal.